arXiv:0801.3354v2 [math.OA] 25 Jun 2008

C^*-ALGEBRAS ARISING FROM SUBSTITUTIONS

MASARU FUJINO

Abstract. In this paper, we introduce a C^*-algebra associated with a primitive substitution. We show that when σ is proper, the C^*-algebra is simple and purely infinite and contains the associated Cuntz-Krieger algebra and the crossed product C^*-algebra of the corresponding Cantor minimal system. We calculate the K-groups.

1. Introduction

J. Cuntz constructed in his paper [2] a new C^*-algebra naturally associated with the $ax+b$-semigroup over $\mathbb{N}$. The C^*-algebra $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathbb{N}}$ is generated by a unitary u and isometries $\{s_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}^*}$ with range projections $e_n = s_n s_n^*$ satisfying the relations

$$s_n s_m = s_{nm},\ s_n u = u^n s_n,\ \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} u^k e_n u^{-k} = 1$$

for $n, m \in \mathbb{N}^*$.

Cuntz showed in [2] $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathbb{N}}$ is simple and purely infinite. Furthermore he showed $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathbb{N}}$ contains Bunce-Deddens algebra and is also generated by Bost-Connes algebra adding one unitary which corresponds to addition. In this paper, motivated by the above construction of the C^*-algebra, we introduce a C^*-algebra B_σ associated with a primitive substitution σ. The C^*-algebra B_σ is generated by the Cuntz-Krieger algebra [3] $\mathcal{O}_{E_\sigma^{(2)}} = C^*(\{s_f\}_{f\in E_\sigma^{(2)}})$, where $E_\sigma^{(2)}$ is the set of edges with partial order arising from σ, and a unitary u corresponding to addition (Vershik map) satisfying the following relations:

$$u(\sum_{\text{f is maximal in } E_\sigma^{(2)}} s_f) = (\sum_{\text{f is minimal in } E_\sigma^{(2)}} s_f)u$$

and for $f \in E_\sigma^{(2)}$ which is not maximal in $E_\sigma^{(2)}$,

$$us_f = s_g,\ \text{where } g \text{ is the successor of } f.$$

Let $f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_{k-1}$ be maximal elements in $E_\sigma^{(2)}$ and f_k be not a maximal element in $E_\sigma^{(2)}$. Let g_k be the successor of f_k and $(g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_k)$ be the unique path such that $g_1, \ldots, g_{k-1}$ are minimal. By using the relations above, we can calculate

$$\begin{aligned} us_{f_1}s_{f_2}\dots s_{f_k} &= u(\sum_{\text{g is maximal in } E_\sigma^{(2)}} s_g)s_{f_2}\dots s_{f_k} \\ &= (\sum_{\text{g is minimal in } E_\sigma^{(2)}} s_g)us_{f_2}\dots s_{f_k} \\ &= \dots = (\sum_{\text{g is minimal in } E_\sigma^{(2)}} s_g)^{k-1}s_{g_k} \quad = s_{g_1}s_{g_2}\dots s_{g_k}. \end{aligned}$$

We show that when σ is proper, B_σ is simple and purely infinite and contains the crossed product C^*-algebra of the corresponding Cantor minimal system, studied by Durand-Host-Skau[5], Giordano-Putnam-Skau[6] and Herman-Putnam-Skau[7]. Furthermore we show that a certain B_σ has a unique KMS-state and determine the K-groups of B_σ.

2. C^*-ALGEBRAS ASSOCIATED WITH SUBSTITUTIONS

In this paper, we study C^*-algebras associated with primitive substitutions, we introduce the definition of stationary ordered Bratteli diagrams following after [5].

Let A be an alphabet consisting of at least 2 letters. We denote by A^+ the set of finite words of A. Then a map $\sigma : A \to A^+$ is said to be a substitution on A. By concatenation, for each $n > 0$, the n-th iteration $\sigma^n : A \longrightarrow A^+$ is again a substitution. For any $a \in A$, let $\kappa(a,b) \in \mathbb{N}$ denote the number of occurrences of b in $\sigma(a)$. A substitution σ is said to be primitive if there exists $n > 0$ such that for every a, $b \in A$, b occurs in $\sigma^n(a)$.

Let σ be a primitive substitution on an alphabet A of N elements. Then we construct the stationary ordered Bratteli diagram $(V_\sigma, E_\sigma, \leq)$ associated to a substitution σ as follows: The vertex set V_σ is given as a disjoint union $V_\sigma^{(0)} \cup V_\sigma^{(1)} \cup V_\sigma^{(2)} \cup \dots$ where $V_\sigma^{(0)}$ is one point set $\{x_0\}$ and each $V_\sigma^{(n)}$ $(n \geq 1)$ consists of N points. We denote the element of $V_\sigma^{(n)}$ by $x(a,n)$ $(a \in A)$. i.e. $V_\sigma^{(n)} = \{x(a,n) | a \in A\}$ $(n \geq 1)$. The vertex $x(a,n)$ is called the vertex of label a at level n.

The edge set E_σ is given as a disjoint union $E_\sigma^{(1)} \cup E_\sigma^{(2)} \cup E_\sigma^{(3)} \cup \dots$ such that $s(E_\sigma^{(n)}) \subset V_\sigma^{(n-1)}$ and $r(E_\sigma^{(n)}) \subset V_\sigma^{(n)}$ where $r(e)$ denote the range of the edge e and $s(e)$ the source of e. For each $a \in A$, $E_\sigma^{(1)}$ contains exactly one edge from x_0 to $x(a,1)$ for each $a \in A$. Each $E_\sigma^{(n)}$ consists of edges from $V_\sigma^{(n-1)}$ to $V_\sigma^{(n)}$. For an integer $n > 1$ and a, b in A, the number of edges from $x(b,n-1)$ to $x(a,n)$ is equal to $\kappa(a,b)$.

For the Bratteli diagram (V_σ, E_σ) constructed above, we have a range map $r : E_\sigma \longrightarrow V_\sigma$ and a source map $s : E_\sigma \longrightarrow V_\sigma$ so that $r(E_\sigma^{(n)}) \subset V_\sigma^{(n)}$ and $s(E_\sigma^{(n)}) \subset V_\sigma^{(n-1)}$.

For each $n \geq 1$, we define a partial order on $E_\sigma^{(n)}$ as follows:

Two edges $e_1, e_2 \in E_\sigma$ are compatible if and only if $r(e_1) = r(e_2)$.

For each $n \geq 2$ and $a \in V_\sigma^{(n)}$, we put $E_\sigma^{(n)}(a) = \{e \in E_\sigma^{(n)} \mid \text{the label of } r(e) \text{ is } a.\}$. Let $\sigma(a) = a_1 a_2, \ldots, a_m$, then we denote the elements of $E_\sigma^{(n)}(a)$ by $e(a,k)$ $(1 \leq k \leq m)$ so that the label of $s(e(a,k))$ is a_k and $r(e(a,k)) = a$.

Let e_1 and e_2 be in $E_\sigma^{(n)}(n \geq 1)$ with $r(e_1) = r(e_2)$ and let a be the label of $r(e_1)$, then e_1 and e_2 are in $E_\sigma^{(n)}(a)$. When we write e_1 and e_2 as $e(a,i)$ and $e(a,j)$ $(1 \leq i, j \leq m)$, we put $e(a,i) < e(a,j)$ if $i < j$.

We basically follow the definition and property of ordered Bratteli diagrams in [5], [6], [7] and [8]. we introduce some necessary notations, definitions of ordered Bratteli diagrams.

Notation 2.1. Suppose that $(V_\sigma, E_\sigma, \leq)$ is the ordered Bratteli diagram associated to a primitive substitution σ.
(a)Let $x = x(a,n)$ be in $V_\sigma^{(n)}$. Then a is said to be the label of $x(a,n)$ and n the level of $x(a,n)$. Let $L(x)$ denote the label of x and $\ell(x)$ the level of x.
(b)Let $x = x(a,n) \in V_\sigma^{(n)}$. For $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $-(n-1) \leq k$, let x_{+k} denote the vertex $x(a, n+k) \in V_\sigma^{(n+k)}$. Let f be in $E_\sigma^{(n)}$ $(n \geq 1)$, then for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $-(n-2) \leq k$, we denote by f_{+k} the edge in $E_\sigma^{(n+k)}$ such that $r(f_{+k}) = r(f)_{+k}$ and $s(f_{+k}) = s(f)_{+k}$.

Definition 2.2. Let $(V_\sigma, E_\sigma, \leq)$ be the stationary ordered Bratteli diagram associated with a primitive substitution σ. Let $\mathcal{P}_\sigma$ denote the infinite path space associated with σ. i.e.

$$\mathcal{P}_\sigma = \{(f^{(1)}, f^{(2)}, \ldots) \mid f^{(k)} \in E_\sigma^{(k)},\ r(f^{(k)}) = s(f^{(k+1)}) \text{ for any } k \geq 1\}.$$

Let $\widetilde{V}_\sigma = V_\sigma \backslash (V_\sigma^{(0)} \cup V_\sigma^{(1)})$. For each $x \in \widetilde{V}_\sigma$, let $\mathcal{P}_\sigma(x)$ denote the set of finite pathes connecting between the top vertex x_0 and x. i.e.

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{P}_\sigma(x) =& \{(f^{(1)}, f^{(2)}, \ldots, f^{(m)}) | f^{(k)} \in E_\sigma^{(k)}\ (1 \leq k \leq m),\\ & r(f^{(k)}) = s(f^{(k+1)})\ (1 \leq k \leq m-1), r(f^{(m)}) = x\}.\end{aligned}$$

Let $\mathcal{P}_\sigma^{(n)} = \cup_{x \in V_\sigma^{(n)}} P_\sigma(x)$ $(n \geq 2)$ and $\mathcal{P}_\sigma^{\text{fin}}$ denote the set $\cup_{n \geq 2} \mathcal{P}_\sigma^{(n)}$. $\mathcal{P}_\sigma^{\text{fin}}$ is called the finite path space arising from σ.

Notation 2.3. Let σ be a primitive substitution.
(a)We let $E_\sigma^{\max}$ and $E_\sigma^{\min}$ denote the maximal and minimal edges in E_σ. i.e.

$$E_\sigma^{\max} = \{f \in E_\sigma \mid f \text{ is maximal in } r^{-1}(r(f)).\}.$$

Let $\mathcal{P}_\sigma^{\max}$ and $\mathcal{P}_\sigma^{\min}$ denote the set of maximal and minimal infinite pathes in $\mathcal{P}_\sigma$. i.e.

$$\mathcal{P}_\sigma^{\max} = \{(f^{(1)}, f^{(2)}, \ldots) \in \mathcal{P}_\sigma \mid f^{(k)} \in E_\sigma^{(k)} \cap E_\sigma^{\max}, r(f^{(k)}) = s(f^{(k+1)})\ (1 \leq k)\}.$$

Let $\mathcal{P}_\sigma^{\mathrm{fin,max}}$ and $\mathcal{P}_\sigma^{\mathrm{fin,min}}$ denote the set of maximal and minimal finite pathes. i.e.

$\mathcal{P}_\sigma^{\mathrm{fin,max}} = \{(f^{(1)}, f^{(2)}, \ldots, f^{(m)}) \in \mathcal{P}_\sigma^{\mathrm{fin}} \mid f^{(k)} \in E_\sigma^{(k)} \cap E_\sigma^{\max} \ (1 \leq k \leq m)\}$.

(b)For a finite path $f = (f^{(1)},f^{(2)},\ldots,f^{(n)}) \in \mathcal{P}_\sigma^{\mathrm{fin}}$, let $s(f)$ and $r(f)$ denote the vertex $r(f^{(1)}) \in V_\sigma^{(2)}$ and $r(f^{(n)})$ respectively and let $\ell(f)$ denote the length of finite path f. i.e. $\ell(f) = n$.

For an infinite path $l \in \mathcal{P}_\sigma$, let $s(l)$, the source of l, denote the vertex $r(l^{(1)}) \in V_\sigma^{(1)}$.

(c)For any $f = (f^{(1)}, f^{(2)}, \ldots, f^{(m)}) \in \mathcal{P}_\sigma^{(m)}$ and $g = (g^{(1)},g^{(2)},\ldots,g^{(n)}) \in \mathcal{P}_\sigma^{(n)}$ with $L(r(f)) = L(s(g))$, we define the finite path $fg \in \mathcal{P}_\sigma^{(m+n-1)}$ by $(f^{(1)},f^{(2)},\ldots,f^{(m)},g_{+(m-1)}^{(2)}, g_{+(m-1)}^{(3)},\ldots,g_{+(m-1)}^{(n)})$.

(d)For any $f = (f^{(1)},f^{(2)},\ldots,f^{(m)}) \in \mathcal{P}_\sigma^{(m)}$ and $l = (l^{(1)},l^{(2)},\ldots) \in \mathcal{P}_\sigma$ with $L(r(f)) = L(s(l))$, we define the infinite path $fl \in \mathcal{P}_\sigma$ by $(f^{(1)},f^{(2)},\ldots, f^{(m)},l_{+(m-1)}^{(2)}, l_{+(m-1)}^{(3)},\ldots)$.

Definition 2.4. Let σ be a primitive substitution. Then $(V_\sigma, E_\sigma, \leq)$ is said to be properly ordered if ${}^\#\mathcal{P}_\sigma^{\min} = {}^\#\mathcal{P}_\sigma^{\max} = 1$. Then we write $\mathcal{P}_\sigma^{\min} = \{p_{min}\}$ and $\mathcal{P}_\sigma^{\max} = \{p_{max}\}$. Now we topologize the infinite path space $\mathcal{P}_\sigma$ by the family of cylinder sets $\{U(f)\}_{f \in \mathcal{P}_\sigma^{\mathrm{fin}}}$ where

$$U(f) = \{g \in \mathcal{P}_\sigma \mid g^{(k)} = f^{(k)} \in E_\sigma^{(k)},\ 1 \leq k \leq \ell(f)\}.$$

Then each $U(f)$ is also closed and we note that $\mathcal{P}_\sigma$ is a compact Hausdorff space with a countable basis of clopen sets. Since σ is primitive, the cardinality of $\mathcal{P}_\sigma$ is infinity and so we note that $\mathcal{P}_\sigma$ is a Cantor set.

Definition 2.5. A primitive substitution σ on an alphabet A is proper if there exist $b, c \in A$ such that
(1)For each $a \in A$, b is the first letter of $\sigma(a)$.
(2)For each $a \in A$, c is the last letter of $\sigma(a)$.

It is easily seen that if a substitution σ is proper, then the stationary ordered Bratteli diagram $(V_\sigma, E_\sigma, \leq)$ is properly ordered.

We recall the definition of Vershik map in [8].

Definition 2.6. Let $(V_\sigma, E_\sigma, \geq)$ be an ordered Bratteli diagram associated with a primitive substitution σ. For each $f = (f^{(1)},f^{(2)},\ldots) \in \mathcal{P}_\sigma \backslash \mathcal{P}_\sigma^{\max}$, there exists $k \geq 1$ such that $f^{(1)},\ldots,f^{(k-1)} \in E_\sigma^{\max}$ and $f^{(k)} \notin E_\sigma^{\max}$. Let $g^{(k)}$ be the successor of $f^{(k)}$ in $r^{-1}(r(f^{(k)}))$, then we write the minimal finite path from x_0 to $s(g^{(k)})$ as $(g^{(1)},g^{(2)},\ldots,g^{(k-1)})$. Then the infinite path $(g^{(1)},g^{(2)},\ldots, g^{(k)},f^{(k+1)},f^{(k+2)},\ldots)$ is said to be the successor of f. We let λ_σ be a map defined by

$$\lambda_\sigma : \mathcal{P}_\sigma \backslash \mathcal{P}_\sigma^{\max} \to \mathcal{P}_\sigma \backslash \mathcal{P}_\sigma^{\min},\ \lambda_\sigma(f) = \text{the successor of } f.$$

The map λ_σ is said to be the Vershik map arising from the ordered Bratteli diagram $(V_\sigma, E_\sigma, \leq)$.

When substitution σ is proper, we extend the Vershik map λ_σ to the whole infinite path space $\mathcal{P}_\sigma$ by defining $\lambda_\sigma(p_{\max}) = p_{\min}$. Then $\lambda_\sigma : \mathcal{P}_\sigma \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}_\sigma$ is a homeomorphism, thus $(\mathcal{P}_\sigma,\lambda_\sigma)$ is a topological dynamical system. This dynamical system is called the Bratteli-Vershik system associated to the ordered Bratteli diagram. It is known in [8] that when σ is proper, λ_σ is minimal.

Definition 2.7. Let σ be a primitive substitution. For any $x \in \widetilde{V}_\sigma$, let $p_{\min}(x)$ (resp. $p_{\max}(x)$) denote the unique minimal (resp. maximal) path from the top vertex x_0 to x. Let $x \in \widetilde{V}_\sigma$, then there is a map:

$$\mathcal{P}_\sigma(x)\backslash\{p_{\max}(x)\} \ni f \longrightarrow (\text{the successor of } f) \in \mathcal{P}_\sigma(x)\backslash\{p_{\min}(x)\}.$$

We let the map be expressed by the symbol $\widehat{\lambda}_\sigma$.

Let $(V_\sigma, E_\sigma, \geq)$ be stationary ordered Bratteli diagram associated to a proper primitive substitution σ. Then we let T be the unitary operator defined by

$$T : \ell^2(\mathcal{P}_\sigma) \longrightarrow \ell^2(\mathcal{P}_\sigma),\ T(\xi_\ell) = \xi_{\lambda_\sigma(\ell)}.$$

For each $f \in E_\sigma^{(2)}$, we let V_f be the operator defined by

$$V_f : \ell^2(\mathcal{P}_\sigma) \longrightarrow \ell^2(\mathcal{P}_\sigma),\ V_f\xi_l = \begin{cases} \xi_{fl} & L(r(f)) = L(s(l))) \\ 0 & L(r(f)) \neq L(s(l))) \end{cases}.$$

Then $\{V_f\}_{f\in E_\sigma^{(2)}}$ are partial isometries and the next lemma is known.

Lemma 2.8. *Operators $\{V_f\}_{f\in E_\sigma^{(2)}}$ satisfy the Cuntz-Krieger relations. i.e.*

$$\sum_{f\in E_\sigma^{(2)}} V_f V_f^* = 1,$$

$$V_g^* V_g = \sum_{f\in E_\sigma^{(2)}, L(s(f))=L(r(g))} V_f V_f^* \ (g \in E_\sigma^{(2)}).$$

Lemma 2.9. *(a)For any $f \in E_\sigma^{(2)}\backslash E_\sigma^{max}$, $V_{\widehat{\lambda}_\sigma(f)} = TV_f$.*
(b) $T(\sum_{f\in E_\sigma^{(2)}\cap E_\sigma^{max}} V_f) = (\sum_{f\in E_\sigma^{(2)}\cap E_\sigma^{min}} V_f)T$.

Proof. (a) For any $l \in \mathcal{P}_\sigma$,

$$V_{\widehat{\lambda}_\sigma(f)}\xi_l = \begin{cases} \xi_{\lambda_\sigma(fl)} & \text{if } L(r(f)) = L(s(l)) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} = TV_f\xi_l$$

(b)For any $l \in \mathcal{P}_\sigma$,

$$\begin{aligned} T(\sum_{f \in E_\sigma^{(2)} \cap E_\sigma^{\max}} V_f)\xi_l &= \xi_{\lambda_\sigma(gl)} \ (g \in \mathcal{P}_\sigma^{(2)} \cap \mathcal{P}_\sigma^{\mathrm{fin},\max} \text{ with } L(r(g)) = L(s(l))) \\ &= \xi_{h\lambda_\sigma(l)} \ (h \in \mathcal{P}_\sigma^{(2)} \cap \mathcal{P}_\sigma^{\mathrm{fin},\min} \text{ with } L(r(h)) = L(s(\lambda_\sigma(l)))) \\ &= (\sum_{f \in E_\sigma^{(2)} \cap E_\sigma^{\min}} V_f)T\xi_l. \end{aligned}$$

□

According to the discussion above, we obtain the following definition.

Definition 2.10. Let σ be a proper primitive substitution. Then we define B_σ to be the universal unital C^*-algebra generated by a unitary u and partial isometries $\{s_f\}_{f \in E_\sigma^{(2)}}$ such that
(a)(Cuntz-Krieger relations)

$$\sum_{f \in E_\sigma^{(2)}} s_f s_f^* = 1,$$

$$s_g^* s_g = \sum_{f \in E_\sigma^{(2)}, L(s(f)) = L(r(g))} s_f s_f^* \ (f \in E_\sigma^{(2)}).$$

(b)For any $f \in E_\sigma^{(2)} \backslash E_\sigma^{\max}$,

$$s_{\widehat{\lambda}_\sigma(f)} = u s_f.$$

(c)

$$u(\sum_{f \in E_\sigma^{(2)} \cap E_\sigma^{\max}} s_f) = (\sum_{f \in E_\sigma^{(2)} \cap E_\sigma^{\min}} s_f)u.$$

Example 2.11. In [2], Cuntz defined a simple C^*-algebra B_2 generated by a unitary u and an isometry s_2 satisfying the following relations.

$$s_2 u = u^2 s_2, \ \sum_{k=0}^{2^n-1} u^k s_2^n s_2^{*n} u^{-k} = 1$$

for any $n \geq 2$.

The C^*-algebra B_2 is isomorphic to B_σ for a certain substitution σ. Let σ be a substitution on an alphabet $A = \{a, b\}$ defined by $\sigma(a) = ab$ and $\sigma(b) = ab$. Then $E_\sigma^{(2)} = \{f_1, \widehat{\lambda}_\sigma(f_1), f_2, \widehat{\lambda}_\sigma(f_2)\}$ where $f_1, f_2 \in E_\sigma^{(2)} \cap E_\sigma^{\min}$ with $L(r(f_1))$ =a and $L(r(f_2))$ =b. We put $s_{f_1} = s_2^2 s_2^*$, $s_{\widehat{\lambda}_\sigma(f_1)} = u s_2^2 s_2^*$, $s_{f_2} = u^2 s_2^2 s_2^* u^{-1}$ and $s_{\widehat{\lambda}_\sigma(f_2)} = u^3 s_2^2 s_2^* u^{-1}$. Then u, $\{s_f\}_{f \in E_\sigma^{(2)}}$ satisfy the relations (a)-(c) in Definition 2.10. According to the Theorem 3.13 in §3, B_σ is simple. So B_σ is isomorphic to B_2.

Remark 2.12. The C^*-algebra associated with substituion can be defined in general primitive substitution, but we restricted the case when σ is proper in this paper.

Let σ be a primitive substitution. Then we let T be the operator defined by

$$T : \ell^2(\mathcal{P}_\sigma) \longrightarrow \ell^2(\mathcal{P}_\sigma),\ T\xi_l = \begin{cases} \xi_{\lambda_\sigma(l)} & l \notin \mathcal{P}_\sigma^{\max} \\ 0 & l \in \mathcal{P}_\sigma^{\max} \end{cases}.$$

Then the next equation clearly holds.

$$T^*T + \chi_{\mathcal{P}_\sigma^{\max}} = TT^* + \chi_{\mathcal{P}_\sigma^{\min}}.$$

Then T and $\{V_f\}_{f \in E_\sigma^{(2)}}$ satisfy the Lemma 2.8 and Lemma 2.9. It is known that $C^*(\{V_f \mid f \in E_\sigma^{(2)}\})$ contains the set of compact operators $K(\ell^2(\mathcal{P}_\sigma))$. Since σ is a primitive substitution, the cardinality of $\mathcal{P}_\sigma$ is infinity. Let π be the natural map $C^*(T, \{V_f\}_{f \in E_\sigma^{(2)}}) \longrightarrow C^*(T, \{V_f\}_{f \in E_\sigma^{(2)}}) / K(\ell^2(\mathcal{P}_\sigma))$. Then $\pi(T)$ is a unitary and $\{\pi(V_f)\}_{f \in E_\sigma^{(2)}}$ are partial isometries satisfying the equations of the Lemma 2.8 and Lemma 2.9. When σ is primitive, we can realize the C^*-algebra B_σ generated by the quatient above.

3. SIMPLE AND PURELY INFINITENESS FOR B_σ

Notation 3.1. Let σ be a proper primitive substitution on an alphabet A.
(a)For any $f = (f^{(1)}, f^{(2)}, \ldots, f^{(n)}) \in \mathcal{P}_\sigma^{\text{fin}}$, we denote by s_f the partial isometry $s_{f^{(2)}} s_{f^{(3)}_{-1}} \ldots s_{f^{(n)}_{-(n-1)}}$.
(b) For each $x \in \widetilde{V}_\sigma$, let $\nu(x) \in \mathbb{N}$ denote the cardinality of the set $\mathcal{P}_\sigma(x)$.

Lemma 3.2. *Let σ be a proper primitive substitution.*
(a) $s_f s_g^* = 0$ for any $f, g \in \mathcal{P}_\sigma^{fin}$ with $L(r(f)) \neq L(r(g))$.
(b) $\sum_{f \in E_\sigma^{(2)} \cap E_\sigma^{max}} s_f s_f^* = (\sum_{g \in E_\sigma^{(2)} \cap E_\sigma^{max}} s_g)(\sum_{h \in E_\sigma^{(2)} \cap E_\sigma^{max}} s_h)^*$.
(c)For any $f \in \mathcal{P}_\sigma^{fin,min}$ and $0 \leq k \leq \nu(r(f)) - 1$,

$$u^k s_f = s_{\widehat{\lambda}_\sigma^k(f)}.$$

(d)Let $f, g \in \mathcal{P}_\sigma^{fin,min}$. If $-(\nu(r(f)) - 1) \leq k \leq \nu(r(g)) - 1$, then

$$s_f^* u^k s_g \in \{s_p^* s_q \mid p, q \in \mathcal{P}_\sigma^{fin} \text{ with } r(p) = r(f) \text{ and } r(q) = r(g).\}.$$

(e)We write $\mathcal{P}_\sigma^{min} = \{p_{min}\}$. Then we let δ_σ be a map defined by

$$\delta_\sigma : \mathcal{P}_\sigma^{fin,min} \longrightarrow \{0, 1\},\ \delta_\sigma(f) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } L(r(f)) = L(s(p_{min})) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

For any $f \in \mathcal{P}_\sigma^{fin,min}$,

$$s_f(\sum_{g\in E_\sigma^{(2)}\cap E_\sigma^{min}} s_g) = \delta_\sigma(f)\sum_{h\in \mathcal{P}_\sigma^{(\ell(f)+1)}\cap \mathcal{P}_\sigma^{fin,min}} s_h.$$

(f)For any $f, g \in \mathcal{P}_\sigma^{fin,min}$ *with* $L(r(f)) = L(r(g))$, *we have*

$$\begin{aligned} s_f s_g^* = &\sum_{h\in\mathcal{P}_\sigma^{(2)}\setminus\mathcal{P}_\sigma^{fin,max}} s_f s_{\widehat{\lambda}_\sigma(h)} s^*_{\widehat{\lambda}_\sigma(h)} s_g^* \\ &+ \delta_\sigma(f)u(\sum_{p\in\mathcal{P}_\sigma^{(\ell(f)+1)}\cap\mathcal{P}_\sigma^{fin,max}} s_p)(\sum_{q\in\mathcal{P}_\sigma^{(\ell(g)+1)}\cap\mathcal{P}_\sigma^{fin,max}} s_q)^* u^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. According to the Definition 2.10, (a)-(e) clearly hold.
(f) Let $f, g \in \mathcal{P}_\sigma^{\text{fin,min}}$, then we have

$$s_f s_g^* = s_f u(\sum_{h\in\mathcal{P}_\sigma^{(2)}} s_h s_h^*)u^{-1}s_g^*$$

$$= \sum_{h\in\mathcal{P}_\sigma^{(2)}\setminus\mathcal{P}_\sigma^{\text{fin,max}}} s_f s_{\widehat{\lambda}_\sigma(h)} s^*_{\widehat{\lambda}_\sigma(h)} s_g^* + s_f u(\sum_{h\in\mathcal{P}_\sigma^{(2)}\cap\mathcal{P}_\sigma^{\text{fin,max}}} s_h s_h^*)u^{-1}s_g^*.$$

According to the relation (c) in Definition 2.10 and Lemma 3.2.(b),(e), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &s_f u(\sum_{h\in\mathcal{P}_\sigma^{(2)}\cap\mathcal{P}_\sigma^{\text{fin,max}}} s_h s_h^*)u^{-1}s_g^* \\ &= s_f u(\sum_{h\in\mathcal{P}_\sigma^{(2)}\cap\mathcal{P}_\sigma^{\text{fin,max}}} s_h)(\sum_{i\in\mathcal{P}_\sigma^{(2)}\cap\mathcal{P}_\sigma^{\text{fin,max}}} s_i)^* u^{-1}s_g^* \\ &= s_f(\sum_{h\in\mathcal{P}_\sigma^{(2)}\cap\mathcal{P}_\sigma^{\text{fin,min}}} s_h)uu^{-1}(\sum_{i\in\mathcal{P}_\sigma^{(2)}\cap\mathcal{P}_\sigma^{\text{fin,min}}} s_i)^* s_g^* \\ &= \delta_\sigma(f)(\sum_{h\in\mathcal{P}_\sigma^{(2)}\cap\mathcal{P}_\sigma^{\text{fin,min}}} s_h)^{\ell(f)}uu^{-1}(\sum_{i\in\mathcal{P}_\sigma^{(2)}\cap\mathcal{P}_\sigma^{\text{fin,min}}} s_i)^{*\ell(g)} \\ &= \delta_\sigma(f)u(\sum_{p\in\mathcal{P}_\sigma^{(\ell(f)+1)}\cap\mathcal{P}_\sigma^{\text{fin,max}}} s_p)(\sum_{q\in\mathcal{P}_\sigma^{(\ell(g)+1)}\cap\mathcal{P}_\sigma^{\text{fin,max}}} s_q)u^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

and we conclude the proof. □

For any $f \in \mathcal{P}_\sigma^{\text{fin}}$, we let $\eta(f)$ denote the number $\min\{\nu(z) \mid z \in V_\sigma^{(\ell(f)-1)}\}$. The following lemma holds.

Lemma 3.3. *For any* $f, g \in \mathcal{P}_\sigma^{fin}$ *and* $a, b \geq 0$, *there exists* $N \geq \ell(f)$ *such that for any* $n \geq N$, $u^a s_f s_g^* u^{-b} \in$ *linear span* $\{u^c s_p s_q^* u^{-d} \mid p \in \mathcal{P}_\sigma^{(n)}, q \in \mathcal{P}_\sigma^{(n-\ell(f)+\ell(g))}, 0 \leq c < \eta(p)$ *and* $0 \leq d < \eta(q)\}$.

Proof. According to the relation $u^a s_f s_g^* u^{-b} = \sum_{h \in \mathcal{P}_\sigma^{(n)}} u^a s_f s_h s_h^* s_g^* u^{-b}$ ($a, b \geq 0$, $n \geq 2$ and $f, g \in \mathcal{P}_\sigma^{\mathrm{fin}}$) and $\lim_{n \to \infty} \min\{\nu(z) \mid z \in V_\sigma^{(n)}\} = \infty$ as σ is primitive, the lemma holds. $\square$

For a proper and primitive substitution σ, we let

$$\mathcal{A}_\sigma = \text{linear span}\{u^a s_f s_g^* u^{-b} \mid a, b \geq 0 \text{ and } f, g \in \mathcal{P}_\sigma^{\mathrm{fin}}\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{L}_\sigma = \{u^a s_f s_g^* u^{-b} \mid f, g \in \mathcal{P}_\sigma^{\mathrm{fin}}, 0 \leq a < \eta(f) \text{ and } 0 \leq b < \eta(g)\}.$$

According to the Lemma 3.3, the following lemma holds.

Lemma 3.4.

$$\mathcal{A}_\sigma = \textit{linear span } \mathcal{L}_\sigma.$$

Lemma 3.5. *Let σ be a proper primitive substitution, then*

$$Alg_{\mathbb{C}}\{u, \{s_f\}_{f \in E_\sigma^{(2)}}\} = \mathcal{A}_\sigma$$

Proof. Since $u, \{s_f\}_{f \in E_\sigma^{(2)}}$ are in $\mathcal{A}_\sigma$, to prove the lemma, it suffices to show that $\mathcal{A}_\sigma$ is an involutive algebra. We let $X = u^{a_1} s_{f_1} s_{g_1}^* u^{-b_1}, Y = u^{a_2} s_{f_2} s_{g_2}^* u^{-b_2} \in \mathcal{L}_\sigma$ with $f_1, f_2, g_1, g_2 \in \mathcal{P}_\sigma^{\mathrm{fin,min}}$. According to the Lemma 3.3, we may assume that $\ell(g_1) = \ell(f_2)$. We have

$$XY = u^{a_1} s_{f_1} s_{g_1}^* u^{a_2 - b_1} s_{f_2} s_{g_2}^* u^{-b_2}.$$

We assume $a_2 - b_1 \geq 0$ without loss of generality, then $0 \leq a_2 - b_1 < \nu(r(f_2)) + \eta(f_2)$.

If $a_2 - b_1 \leq \nu(r(f_2)) - 1$, then by using the Lemma 3.2 (c), $XY = u^{a_1} s_{f_1} s_{g_1}^* s_{\widehat{\lambda}_\sigma^{a_2 - b_1}(f_2)} s_{g_2}^* u^{-b_2} \in \mathcal{A}_\sigma$.

If $\nu(r(f_2)) \leq a_2 - b_1 < \nu(r(f_2)) + \eta(f_2)$, then we apply the Lemma 3.2 (f) to $s_{f_1} s_{g_1}^*$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} XY = &\sum_{h \in \mathcal{P}_\sigma^{(2)} \setminus \mathcal{P}_\sigma^{\mathrm{fin,max}}} u^{a_1} s_{f_1} s_{\widehat{\lambda}_\sigma(h)} s_{\widehat{\lambda}_\sigma(h)}^* s_{g_1}^* u^{a_2 - b_1} s_{f_2} s_{g_2}^* u^{-b_2} \\ &+ \delta_\sigma(f_1) u^{a_1 + 1} \Big(\sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}_\sigma^{(\ell(f_1)+1)} \cap \mathcal{P}_\sigma^{\mathrm{fin,max}}} s_p \Big) \Big(\sum_{q \in \mathcal{P}_\sigma^{(\ell(g_1)+1)} \cap \mathcal{P}_\sigma^{\mathrm{fin,max}}} s_q \Big)^* u^{a_2 - b_1 - 1} s_{f_2} s_{g_2}^* u^{-b_2}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from the Lemma 3.2 (d) that

$$s_{\widehat{\lambda}_\sigma(h)}^* s_{g_1}^* u^{a_2 - b_1} s_{f_2}, \; s_q^* u^{a_2 - b_1 - 1} s_{f_2} \in \{s_l^* s_r \mid l, r \in \mathcal{P}_\sigma^{\mathrm{fin}}\}$$

for any $h \in \mathcal{P}_\sigma^{(2)} \setminus \mathcal{P}_\sigma^{\mathrm{fin,max}}$ and $q \in \mathcal{P}_\sigma^{(\ell(g_1)+1)} \cap \mathcal{P}_\sigma^{\mathrm{fin,max}}$ and we conclude the proof. $\square$

We let D_σ denote the C^*-algebra generated by $\{u^k s_f s_f^* u^{-k} \mid f \in \mathcal{P}_\sigma^{\mathrm{fin}}, 0 \leq k\}$.

It is clear that any two of the form $s_f s_f^*$ ($f \in \mathcal{P}_\sigma^{\mathrm{fin}}$) commute. For $N \geq 2$, we let $D_\sigma^{(N)}$ denote the subalgebra of D_σ generated by $\{s_f s_f^* \mid f \in \mathcal{P}_\sigma^{(N)}\}$. It is easily seen that $D_\sigma^{(N+1)}$ contains $D_\sigma^{(N)}$.

Notation 3.6. Let σ be a proper primitive substitution. For any $f \in \mathcal{P}_\sigma^{\mathrm{fin}}$, we let $\widetilde{U}(f)$ denote the set defined by

$$\widetilde{U}(f) = \{g \in \mathcal{P}_\sigma^{\mathrm{fin}} \mid g^{(1)} = f^{(1)}, g^{(2)} = f^{(2)}, \ldots, g^{(\ell(f))} = f^{(\ell(f))}\}.$$

Lemma 3.7. *For any $f \in \mathcal{P}_\sigma^{fin}$ and $a \geq 0$, there exists $N \geq \ell(f)$ such that for any $n \geq N$,*

$$u^a s_f s_f^* u^{-a} \in \textit{linear span}\{s_g s_g^* \mid g \in \mathcal{P}_\sigma^{(n)}\}.$$

Proof. According to the Lemma 3.2 (c), it suffices to show that for any $f \in \mathcal{P}_\sigma^{\mathrm{fin,max}}$, there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $u s_f s_f^* u^{-1} \in$ linear span $\{s_g s_g^* \mid g \in \mathcal{P}_\sigma^{(n)}\}$. Since σ is proper, we write $\mathcal{P}_\sigma^{\mathrm{max}} = \{p_{\mathrm{max}}\}$. If $f \in \mathcal{P}_\sigma^{\mathrm{fin,max}}$ with $p_{\mathrm{max}} \notin U(f)$, then we let $S(f)$ denote the set

$$S(f) = \{g \in \widetilde{U}(f) \mid g^{(1)}, g^{(2)}, \ldots, g^{(\ell(g)-1)} \in E_\sigma^{\mathrm{max}} \text{ and } g^{(\ell(g))} \notin E_\sigma^{\mathrm{max}}\}.$$

Then by using the Cuntz-Krieger relations, we have

$$\begin{aligned} u s_f s_f^* u^{-1} &= \sum_{g \in S(f)} u s_g s_g^* u^{-1} = \sum_{g \in S(f)} s_{\widehat{\lambda}_\sigma(g)} s_{\widehat{\lambda}_\sigma(g)}^* \\ &\in \text{linear span}\{s_h s_h^* \mid h \in \mathcal{P}_\sigma^{(N)}\} \ (\exists N \geq \ell(f)). \end{aligned}$$

If $f \in \mathcal{P}_\sigma^{\mathrm{fin,max}}$ with $p_{\mathrm{max}} \in U(f)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} u s_f s_f^* u^{-1} &= u(1 - \sum_{g \in \mathcal{P}_\sigma^{(\ell(f))}, f \neq g} s_g s_g^*) u^{-1} \\ &= 1 - \sum_{g \in \mathcal{P}_\sigma^{(\ell(f))}, f \neq g} s_{\widehat{\lambda_\sigma(g)}} s_{\widehat{\lambda_\sigma(g)}}^* \end{aligned}$$

and we conclude the proof. □

According to the Lemma 3.7, the following lemma holds.

Lemma 3.8. *When σ is proper and primitive, D_σ is the inductive limit of the subalgebra $D_\sigma^{(N)}$.*

Lemma 3.9. *Let σ be a proper primitive substitution. Then C^*-algebra D_σ is isomorphic to $C(\mathcal{P}_\sigma)$.*

Proof. The fact that $\mathcal{P}_\sigma$ is totally disconnected implies that linear span $\{\chi_f \mid f \in \mathcal{P}_\sigma^{\mathrm{fin}}\}$ is dense in $C(\mathcal{P}_\sigma)$. It clearly holds that linear span$\{\chi_f \mid f \in \mathcal{P}_\sigma^{(n)}\}$ is isomorphic to $D_\sigma^{(n)}$. Since D_σ is the inductive limit of $D_\sigma^{(n)}$, D_σ is isomorphic to $C(\mathcal{P}_\sigma)$. □

According to the Definition 2.10, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 3.10. *Let σ be a proper primitive substitution. In this lemma, we put $e_f = s_f s_f^*$, then the unitary u and projections $\{e_f\}_{f\in\mathcal{P}_\sigma^{fin}}$ satisfy the following relations.*
(i) $\sum_{f\in E_\sigma^{(2)}} e_f = 1$.

For any $g \in \mathcal{P}_\sigma^{(n)}$, $e_g = \sum_{h\in E_\sigma^{(2)},\ L(r(g))=L(s(h))} e_{gh}$.
(ii)

$$e_{\widehat{\lambda}_\sigma(f)} = u e_f u^{-1}$$

for any $n \geq 2$ and $f \in \mathcal{P}_\sigma^{(n)} \backslash (\mathcal{P}_\sigma^{(n)} \cap \mathcal{P}_\sigma^{fin,max})$.
(iii)

$$u(\sum_{f\in\mathcal{P}_\sigma^{(n)}\cap\mathcal{P}_\sigma^{fin,max}} e_f)u^{-1} = \sum_{f\in\mathcal{P}_\sigma^{(n)}\cap\mathcal{P}_\sigma^{fin,min}} e_f.$$

for any $n \geq 2$.

According to the Lemma 3.10, we define the following algebra.

Definition 3.11. Let σ be a proper primitive substitution. Then we define $\mathcal{F}_\sigma$ to be the universal unital C^*-algebra generated by a unitary u and projections $\{e_f\}_{f\in\mathcal{P}_\sigma^{\mathrm{fin}}}$ satisfying the relations (i), (ii) and (iii) in Lemma 3.10.

Let $(\mathcal{P}_\sigma, \lambda_\sigma)$ be a Bratteli-Vershik system associated with a proper primitive substitution σ. Then this gives a C^*-dynamical system $(C(\mathcal{P}_\sigma)$, $\mathbb{Z}$, $\widetilde{\lambda}_\sigma)$, where

$$\widetilde{\lambda}_\sigma^n(f) = f \circ \lambda_\sigma^{-n}\ (n \in \mathbb{Z}).$$

Proposition 3.12. *Let σ be a proper primitive substitution. Then $\mathcal{F}_\sigma$ is isomorphic to the crossed product $C(\mathcal{P}_\sigma) \rtimes_{\widetilde{\lambda}_\sigma} \mathbb{Z}$.*

Proof. The crossed product is generated by projections χ_f $(f \in \mathcal{P}_\sigma^{\mathrm{fin}})$ and a unitary U implementing the automorphism $\widetilde{\lambda}_\sigma$. It follows from Lemma 3.9 that there is a homomorphism of $C(\mathcal{P}_\sigma)$ into $\mathcal{F}_\sigma$ such that $\phi(\chi_f) = e_f$ for any $f \in \mathcal{P}_\sigma^{\mathrm{fin}}$. We check that

$$\phi(\widetilde{\lambda}_\sigma(\chi_f)) = u e_f u^{-1}$$

for any $f \in \mathcal{P}_\sigma^{\mathrm{fin}}$. If $f \in \mathcal{P}_\sigma^{\mathrm{fin}} \backslash \mathcal{P}_\sigma^{\mathrm{fin,max}}$, then $\phi(\widetilde{\lambda}_\sigma(\chi_f)) = e_{\widehat{\lambda}_\sigma(f)} = u e_f u^{-1}$. We write $\mathcal{P}_\sigma^{\max} = \{p_{\max}\}$. If $f \in \mathcal{P}_\sigma^{\mathrm{fin,max}}$ is such that $p_{\max} \notin U(f)$, then we take the set $S(f) \subset \mathcal{P}_\sigma^{\mathrm{fin}}$ (The definition of $S(f)$ is in the proof of Lemma 3.7.). Then $\chi_f = \sum_{g\in S(f)} \chi_g$. So

$$\phi(\widetilde{\lambda}_\sigma(\chi_f)) = \sum_{g\in S(f)} e_{\widehat{\lambda}_\sigma(g)} = u(\sum_{g\in S(f)} e_g)u^{-1} = u e_f u^{-1}.$$

If $f \in \mathcal{P}_\sigma^{\mathrm{fin,max}}$ such that $p_{\max} \in U(f)$, then $\chi_f = 1 - \sum_{g\in\mathcal{P}_\sigma^{(\ell(f))}, f\neq g} \chi_g$. So

$$\phi(\widetilde{\lambda}_\sigma(\chi_f)) = \phi(\widetilde{\lambda}_\sigma(1 - \sum_{g\in\mathcal{P}_\sigma^{(\ell(f))}, f\neq g} \chi_g)) = 1 - \sum_{g\in\mathcal{P}_\sigma^{(\ell(f))}, f\neq g} u e_g u^{-1} = u e_f u^{-1}.$$

It follows from the discussion above that $\mathcal{F}_\sigma$ provides a covariant representation of $(C(\mathcal{P}_\sigma), \mathbb{Z}, \widetilde{\lambda}_\sigma)$. It follows from the universal property of the crossed product there is a homomorphism of $C(\mathcal{P}_\sigma) \rtimes_{\widetilde{\lambda}_\sigma} \mathbb{Z}$ onto $\mathcal{F}_\sigma$ taking U to u and χ_f to e_f. Since λ_σ is minimal, $C(\mathcal{P}_\sigma) \rtimes_{\widetilde{\lambda}_\sigma} \mathbb{Z}$ is simple and we conclude the proof. $\square$

We consider a unitary u and partial isometries $\{s_f\}_{f \in E_\sigma^{(2)}}$ satisfying the relations (a)-(c) of Definition 2.10 which generate B_σ. By the universal property of B_σ, for any $t \in \mathbb{T}$, there exists an automorphism α_t of B_σ such that $\alpha_t(u) = u$, $\alpha_t(s_f) = ts_f$ for each f in $E_\sigma^{(2)}$. Put

$$E(x) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \alpha_t(x)dt, \ x \in B_\sigma$$

where dt is the normalized Haar measure on $\mathbb{T}$. Then E is a conditional expectation onto $\mathcal{F}_\sigma$.

We consider a unitary u and partial isometries $\{e_f\}_{f \in E_\sigma^{(2)}}$ satisfying the relations (i)-(iii) of Lemma 3.10 which generate $\mathcal{F}_\sigma$. By the universal property of B_σ, for any $t \in \mathbb{T}$, there exists an automorphism β_t of B_σ such that $\beta_t(u) = e^{it}u$, $\beta_t(e_f) = e_f$ for each f in $E_\sigma^{(2)}$. Put

$$F(x) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \beta_t(x)dt, \ x \in \mathcal{F}_\sigma.$$

Then F is a conditional expectation onto D_σ.

We put $G = F \circ E$, then G is a conditional expectation of B_σ onto D_σ.

Theorem 3.13. *Let σ be a proper primitive substitution. Then C^*-algebra B_σ associated with σ is simple and purely infinite.*

Proof. Let σ be a proper primitive substitution on an alphabet A with $^\#A = c$. To prove the theorem, it suffices to show that for any non-zero positive element $X \in B_\sigma$, there exists $Z \in B_\sigma$ such that Z^*XZ is invertible. Since G is faithful, $G(X) \neq 0$. We may assume that $\|G(X)\| = 1$. For arbitrary $0 < \varepsilon < \frac{1}{3c^2+1}$, there exists $Y \in \mathrm{Alg}_{\mathbb{C}}$ $\{u, \{s_f\}_{f \in E_\sigma^{(2)}}\}$ such that $\|X - Y\| < \varepsilon$. Then we have

$$\|G(Y)\| = \|G(X) - G(X - Y)\| \geq \|G(X)\| - \|X - Y\| > \frac{3c^2}{3c^2+1}.$$

For any $N \geq 2$, we let

$\mathcal{L}_\sigma^{(N)} = \{u^a s_f s_g^* u^{-b} \mid f, g \in \mathcal{P}_\sigma^{\text{fin,min}}, \min\{\ell(f), \ell(g)\} = N,$

$\max\{\ell(f), \ell(g)\} \leq 2N$ and if $u^a s_f s_g^* u^{-b} \in D_\sigma$, then $u^a s_f s_g^* u^{-b} \in D_\sigma^{(N)}.\}$

and $\mathcal{A}_\sigma^{(N)} =$ linear span $\mathcal{L}_\sigma^{(N)}$. According to the Lemma 3.3 and Lemma 3.7, there exists $N > 0$ such that $Y \in \mathcal{A}_\sigma^{(N)}$. Now we choose pathes $\{p(f, v) | f \in \mathcal{P}_\sigma^{(N)}, v \in V_\sigma^{(1)}\} \subset \mathcal{P}_\sigma^{\text{fin}}$ as follows:

$$p(f, v) = p_1(f, v)p_2(f, v) \in \mathcal{P}_\sigma^{\text{fin}}$$

where $p_1(f,v) \in \widetilde{U}(f)$ is such that when we write $p_1(f,v) = \widehat{\lambda}_\sigma^k(g)$ ($g \in \mathcal{P}_\sigma^{\mathrm{fin,min}}$ with $r(g) = r(p_1(f,v))$ and $0 \leq k$), $\max\{\nu(z) \mid z \in V_\sigma^{(2N)}\} \leq k\}$.

$p_2(f,v) \in \mathcal{P}_\sigma^{\mathrm{fin}}$ is a sufficiently large length path such that $L(s(p_2(f,v))) = L(r(p_1(f,v)))$, $L(r(p_2(f,v))) = L(v)$ and $s^*_{p_2(f,v)} s_g s_{p_2(f,v)} = 0$ for any $g \in \cup_{m=2}^{N+1} \mathcal{P}_\sigma^{(m)}$.

We claim that if $x = u^a s_g s_h^* u^{-b} \in \mathcal{L}_\sigma^{(N)}$ ($g, h \in \mathcal{P}_\sigma^{\mathrm{fin,min}}$) with $G(x) = 0$, then $e_{p(f,v)} x e_{p(f,v)} = 0$ for any $f \in \mathcal{P}_\sigma^{(N)}$ and $v \in V_\sigma^{(1)}$, because

$$\begin{aligned} e_{p(f,v)} x e_{p(f,v)} &= s_{p(f,v)} s^*_{\widehat{\lambda_\sigma^{-a}}(p(f,v))} s_g s_h^* s_{\widehat{\lambda_\sigma^{-b}}(p(f,v))} s^*_{p(f,v)} \\ &= s_{p(f,v)} s^*_{p_2(f,v)} s^*_{\widehat{\lambda_\sigma^{-a}}(p_1(f,v))} s_g s_h^* s_{\widehat{\lambda_\sigma^{-b}}(p_1(f,v))} s_{p_2(f,v)} s^*_{p(f,v)} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

For any $v \in V_\sigma^{(1)}$, we let $\varphi_v^{(N)} \colon D_\sigma \longrightarrow D_\sigma$ be a map defined by

$$\varphi_v^{(N)}(x) = \sum_{f \in \mathcal{P}_\sigma^{(N)}} e_{p(f,v)} x e_{p(f,v)}.$$

Then the restlicted map

$$\varphi_v^{(N)}|_{D_\sigma^{(N)}} : D_\sigma^{(N)} \longrightarrow C^*(\{e_{p(f,v)} | f \in \mathcal{P}_\sigma^{(N)}\})$$

is an isomorphism. According to the discussion above and the definition of $\mathcal{L}_\sigma^{(N)}$, we have

$$\varphi_v^{(N)}(G(Y)) = \sum_{f \in \mathcal{P}_\sigma^{(N)}} e_{p(f,v)} Y e_{p(f,v)}.$$

So there exists a path $p_v \in \{p(f,v) | f \in \mathcal{P}_\sigma^{(N)}\}$ such that

$$e_{p_v} Y e_{p_v} = \|G(Y)\| e_{p_v}$$

for each $v \in V_\sigma^{(1)}$.

Put $Z = \sum_{v \in V_\sigma^{(1)}} \|G(Y)\|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \ s_{p_v}$, then $\|Z\| \leq \|G(Y)\|^{-\frac{1}{2}} c$ and we have

$$Z^* Y Z = \sum_{v \in V_\sigma^{(1)}} s^*_{p_v} s_{p_v} = 1.$$

Then $\|1 - Z^*XZ\| = \|Z^*YZ - Z^*XZ\| \leq \|Z\|^2 \|Y - X\| < \frac{1}{3}$.

Thus Z^*XZ is invertible and we conclude the proof. □

Remark 3.14. Let σ be a proper primitive substitution and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $\{s_f\}_{f \in \mathcal{P}_\sigma^{(n+1)}} \subset B_\sigma$ satisfy the relations (a)-(c) in Definition 2.10 of B_{σ^n}. Thus B_{σ^n} is isomorphic to B_σ.

4. ACTIONS FOR B_σ

Let σ be a primitive substitution on an alphabet A. For $x \in A^{\mathbb{Z}}$, we denote by $x_{[j,\ k]}$ the word $x_j x_{j+1} \dots x_k$. We define

$$\begin{aligned} X_\sigma = \{(x_i) \in A^{\mathbb{Z}} \mid & \text{ For any } j < k, \text{ there exists } n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } a \in A \\ & \text{such that } x_{[j,k]} \text{ is a subword of } \sigma^n(a).\} \end{aligned}$$

and let $T_\sigma : X_\sigma \longrightarrow X_\sigma$ be a map defined by $T_\sigma((x_k)_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}) = (x_{k+1})_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$. It is known in [10] that for any primitive substitution σ, the substitution dynamical system (X_σ, T_σ) is minimal and uniquely ergodic.

A substitution $\sigma : A \longrightarrow \{$the set of words of $A\}$ naturally extends to a map $\sigma : A^{\mathbb{Z}} \longrightarrow A^{\mathbb{Z}}$:

$$\sigma(...w_{-2}w_{-1}.w_0w_1...) = ...\sigma(w_{-2})\sigma(w_{-1}).\sigma(w_0)\sigma(w_1)....$$

Let σ be a proper primitive substitution. An infinite word $w \in A^{\mathbb{Z}}$ is called a periodic point of σ if $\sigma(w) = w$ and is T_σ-periodic if there exists a positive integer k with $T_\sigma^k(w) = w$. A proper substitution σ is said to be periodic when there exists a periodic point of σ when is also T_σ-periodic. When σ is primitive, $|X_\sigma| < \infty$ if and only if σ is periodic.

If σ is periodic proper and primitive, then we denote by p_σ the smallest period of its fixed point w and $u = w_{[0,\ p_\sigma - 1]}$. As $\sigma(w) = w$, there exists $d_\sigma \geq 1$ such that $\sigma(u) = u \dots u$ (d_σ *times*). We need the following fact due to [5]. (Proposition 16).

Proposition 4.1. *Let σ be a proper primitive substitution.*
(a)If σ is non-periodic, then the Bratteli-Vershik system $(\mathcal{P}_\sigma, \lambda_\sigma)$ is isomorphic to the system (X_σ, T_σ).
(b)If σ is periodic, the system $(\mathcal{P}_\sigma, \lambda_\sigma)$ is isomorphic to an odometer with a stationary base $(p_\sigma, d_\sigma, d_\sigma, \dots)$.

The next lemma follows from [4](Theorem VIII.4.1).

Lemma 4.2. *If σ is a periodic, proper and primitive substitution, then $\mathcal{F}_\sigma$ is isomorphic to Bunce-Deddens algebra with supernatural number $p_\sigma d_\sigma^\infty$.*

Definition 4.3. Let σ be a primitive substitution on an alphabet A. For any $a, b \in A$, let $\kappa(a, b) \in \mathbb{N}$ denote the number of occurrences of b in $\sigma(a)$. Let M_σ denote its matrix; $M_\sigma = \{\kappa(a, b)\}_{a,b\in A}$. A primitive substitution σ is para-periodic if there exists $p \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $M_\sigma^2 = pM_\sigma$. Let σ be a para-periodic substitution, then we define a number $N_\sigma \in \mathbb{N}$ by $M_\sigma^2 = N_\sigma M_\sigma$.

Let σ be a proper primitive substitution. It is known in [4] (Corollary VIII.3.8) that there is a unique faithful trace $\varphi_\sigma^{(0)}$ on $\mathcal{F}_\sigma$. We let φ_σ be a state on B_σ defined by $\varphi_\sigma = \varphi_\sigma^{(0)} \circ E$.

Lemma 4.4. *Let σ be a proper primitive substitution on an alphabet A.*
(a)For any $f, g, h \in \mathcal{P}_\sigma^{fin}$ and $0 \neq k \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\varphi_\sigma(u^k s_f s_f^*) = \varphi_\sigma(s_g s_h^* u^k s_h s_g^*) = 0.$$

(b)If σ is para-periodic, then for any $f, g \in \mathcal{P}_\sigma^{fin}$ with $L(r(f)) = L(r(g))$, we have

$$\varphi_\sigma(s_f s_f^*) = N_\sigma^{\ell(g)-\ell(f)} \varphi_\sigma(s_g s_g^*).$$

Proof. (a)Let $f, g, h \in \mathcal{P}_\sigma^{\text{fin}}$. According to the relation

$$u^k s_f s_f^* = \sum_{g \in \mathcal{P}_\sigma^{(n)}} u^k s_f s_g s_g^* s_f^*$$

for any $n \geq 2$, we may first assume that $|k| < \nu(r(f))$ and $k \neq 0$. Then $s_f^* u^k s_f = 0$. Thus

$$\varphi_\sigma(u^k s_f s_f^*) = \varphi_\sigma(s_f s_f^* u^k s_f s_f^*) = 0.$$

$$\varphi_\sigma(s_g s_h^* u^k s_h s_g^*) = \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}_\sigma^{(n)}} \varphi_\sigma(s_p s_p^* s_g s_h^* u^k s_h s_g^* s_p s_p^*) = 0 \ (n \geq 2).$$

(b) We let $p_b^{(n)}$ denote the path in $\mathcal{P}_\sigma^{(n)} \cap \mathcal{P}_\sigma^{\text{fin,min}}$ such that $L(r(p_b^{(n)})) = b$ for any $b \in A$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $f, g \in \mathcal{P}_\sigma^{\text{fin}}$ with $L(r(f)) = L(r(g))$ and $\ell(f) \leq \ell(g)$, then

$$\varphi_\sigma(s_f s_f^*) = \sum_{h \in \mathcal{P}_\sigma^{(\ell(g)-\ell(f)+2)}} \varphi_\sigma(s_f s_h s_h^* s_f^*).$$

Since $\varphi_\sigma(s_f s_f^*) = \varphi_\sigma(s_{f'} s_{f'}^*)$ for any $f, f' \in \mathcal{P}_\sigma^{\text{fin}}$ with $r(f) = r(f')$ and

$$^\#\{h \in \mathcal{P}^{(\ell(g)-\ell(f)+2)} \mid L(s(h)) = L(r(f)) \text{ and } L(r(h)) = b\} = N_\sigma^{\ell(g)-\ell(f)} \kappa(b, L(r(f)))$$

as σ is para-periodic, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{h \in \mathcal{P}_\sigma^{(\ell(g)-\ell(f)+2)}} \varphi_\sigma(s_f s_h s_h^* s_f^*) &= N_\sigma^{\ell(g)-\ell(f)} \sum_{b \in A} \kappa(b, L(r(f))) \varphi_\sigma(s_{p_b^{(\ell(g)+1)}} s^*_{p_b^{(\ell(g)+1)}}) \\ &= N_\sigma^{\ell(g)-\ell(f)} \varphi_\sigma(s_g s_g^*).\end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 4.5. *Let σ be a proper primitive substitution. Let $x = u^a s_f s_g^* u^{-b} \in \mathcal{L}_\sigma$, where $f, g \in \mathcal{P}_\sigma^{fin,min}$. For any $n > \ell(f)$, x is written as the form*

$$x = \sum_{\mathit{finite}} u^{a_k} s_{f_k} s_{g_k}^* u^{-b_k}$$

where $u^{a_k} s_{f_k} s_{g_k}^* u^{-b_k} \in \mathcal{L}_\sigma$, $f_k \in \mathcal{P}_\sigma^{(n)} \cap \mathcal{P}_\sigma^{fin,min}$ and $\eta(f_k) \leq a_k < \nu(r(f_k)) + \eta(f_k)$.

Proof. Let $x = u^a s_f s_g^* u^{-b} \in \mathcal{L}_\sigma$ and $n > \ell(f)$. According to the relation $\sum_{h \in \mathcal{P}_\sigma^{(m)}} s_h s_h^* = 1$ ($m \geq 1$), we may assume that $\ell(f) = n-1$. If $a \geq \min\{\nu(z) \mid \ell(z) = n-1\}$, then

$$x = u^a s_f s_g^* u^{-b} = \sum_{h \in E_\sigma^{(2)}} u^a s_f s_h s_h^* s_g^* u^{-b}.$$

If $a < \min\{\nu(z) \mid \ell(z) = n-1\}$, according to the Lemma 3.2 (f), we have

$$\begin{aligned} x = & \sum_{h \in E_\sigma^{(2)} \setminus E_\sigma^{\max}} u^a s_f s_{\widehat{\lambda}_\sigma(h)} s_{\widehat{\lambda}_\sigma(h)}^* s_g^* u^{-b} \\ & + u^{a+1} \Big(\sum_{h \in \mathcal{P}_\sigma^{(\ell(f)+1)} \cap \mathcal{P}_\sigma^{\mathrm{fin},\max}} s_h \Big) \Big(\sum_{i \in \mathcal{P}_\sigma^{(\ell(g)+1)} \cap \mathcal{P}_\sigma^{\mathrm{fin},\max}} s_i \Big)^* u^{-(b+1)}. \end{aligned}$$

The above equations satisfy the condition in Lemma 4.5. □

Definition 4.6. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a C^*-algebra, θ an $\mathbb{R}$-action on $\mathcal{A}$, φ a state on $\mathcal{A}$ and $\beta > 0$. Then φ satisfies the β-KMS condition if for each x, $y \in \mathcal{A}$, there is a function f, bounded and continuous on $\{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid 0 \leq \mathrm{Im}(z) \leq \beta\}$ and analytic on $\{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid 0 < \mathrm{Im}(z) < \beta\}$ such that for $t \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$f(t) = \varphi(\theta_t(x)y), \ f(t+i\beta) = \varphi(y\theta_t(x)).$$

It is known that φ satisfies the β-KMS condition if and only if $\varphi(x\theta_{i\beta}(y)) = \varphi(yx)$ for any $x \in \mathcal{A}$ and y, analytic element for $(\theta_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$.

Let σ be a para-periodic proper substitution. Then let $\theta : \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Aut}(B_\sigma)$ be a map defined by

$$\theta_t(u) = u, \ \theta_t(s_f) = N_\sigma^{it} s_f \ (f \in E_\sigma^{(2)}).$$

Then θ is an $\mathbb{R}$-action on B_σ.

Proposition 4.7. *Let σ be a para-periodic proper substitution. Then the state φ_σ is a 1-KMS state for $(\theta_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$.*

Proof. To prove the proposition, by Lemma 3.5 and Lemma 4.5, it suffices to show that $\varphi_\sigma(xy) = \varphi_\sigma(y\theta_i(x))$ for any $x = u^{a_1} s_{f_1} s_{g_1}^* u^{-b_1}$, $y = u^{a_2} s_{f_2} s_{g_2}^* u^{-b_2} \in \mathcal{L}_\sigma$ with f_1,f_2,g_1,$g_2 \in \mathcal{P}_\sigma^{\mathrm{fin},\min}$, $\ell(g_1) = \ell(f_2)$, $\eta(g_1) \leq b_1 < \nu(r(g_1)) + \eta(g_1)$ and $\eta(f_2) \leq a_2 < \nu(r(f_2)) + \eta(f_2)$.

If $\ell(f_1) + \ell(f_2) \neq \ell(g_1) + \ell(g_2)$, then $xy, yx \notin \mathcal{F}_\sigma$ and so $\varphi_\sigma(xy) = \varphi_\sigma(yx) = 0$.

We let $\ell(f_1) + \ell(f_2) = \ell(g_1) + \ell(g_2)$, then $\ell(f_1) = \ell(g_2)$. If $b_1 \neq a_2$ or $f_2 \neq g_1$, then $s_{g_1}^* u^{a_2 - b_1} s_{f_2} = 0$. Thus $xy = 0$ and $\varphi_\sigma(yx) = \varphi_\sigma(s_{g_1} s_{g_1}^* u^{a_2-b_1} s_{f_2} s_{g_2}^* u^{a_1-b_2} s_{f_1} s_{g_1}^*) = 0$.

Next we let $b_1 = a_2$ and $g_1 = f_2$, then $f_1 = g_2$. If $a_1 \neq b_2$, then by using the Lemma 4.4 (a), $\varphi_\sigma(xy) = \varphi_\sigma(u^{a_1-b_2} s_{f_1} s_{f_1}^*) = 0$ and $\varphi_\sigma(yx) = \varphi_\sigma(s_{f_1} s_{g_1}^* u^{a_1-b_2} s_{g_1} s_{f_1}^*) = 0$.

We let $a_1 = b_2$. According to the Lemma 4.4 (b), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_\sigma(xy) &= \varphi_\sigma(s_{f_1}s_{f_1}^*)\\ &= N_\sigma^{\ell(g_1)-\ell(f_1)}\varphi_\sigma(s_{g_1}s_{g_1}^*)\\ &= \varphi_\sigma(y\theta_i(x))\end{aligned}$$

and we conclude the proof. □

5. The K-groups of B_σ

We follow the definition of semigroup crossed product C^*-algebras in [11].

Definition 5.1. Let B be a unital C^*-algebra and ρ be an endomorphism of B such that ρ is an isomorphism from B onto $\rho(1)B\rho(1)$. We define $B \rtimes_\rho \mathbb{N}$ to be the universal unital C^*-algebra generated by B and an isometry s such that $\rho(b) = sbs^*$ for any $b \in B$.

We denote by μ_1 an isometry $\sum_{f\in E_\sigma^{(2)}\cap E_\sigma^{\min}} s_f$ and let ρ be a map defined by

$$\rho : \mathcal{F}_\sigma \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}_\sigma,\ \rho(x) = \mu_1 x \mu_1^*.$$

Then $\rho \in \mathrm{End}(\mathcal{F}_\sigma)$ and it is easily seen that B_σ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{F}_\sigma \rtimes_\rho \mathbb{N}$.

We shall recall some facts on this dimension group K_σ of a substitution dynamical system based on [5].

Definition 5.2. Let σ be a primitive substitution on an alphabet A with ${}^\# A = d$, then we let
$G_\sigma = \{x \in \mathbb{Q}^d \mid \text{there exists } n > 0 \text{ such that } M_\sigma^n x \in \mathbb{Z}^d.\}$.
$H_\sigma = \{x \in \mathbb{Q}^d \mid \text{there exists } n > 0 \text{ such that } M_\sigma^n x = 0.\}$.
$K_\sigma = G_\sigma / H_\sigma$.

The group K_σ is called the dimension group of a substitution dynamical system.

We let ϕ be an endomorphism on $\mathbb{Z}^d$ defined by $\phi(x) = M_\sigma x$, then the direct limit of $(\mathbb{Z}^d, \phi)$ is isomorphic to K_σ.

Let σ be a proper primitive substitution on an alphabet $A = \{c_1, c_2 \dots c_d\}$, then we let $C(\mathcal{P}_\sigma, \mathbb{Z})$ denote the set of continuous functions with values in $\mathbb{Z}$. It follows from the Pimsner-Voiculescu exact sequence that $K_0(\mathcal{F}_\sigma) = C(\mathcal{P}_\sigma, \mathbb{Z})/\widetilde{\lambda}_\sigma C(\mathcal{P}_\sigma, \mathbb{Z})$ where $\widetilde{\lambda}_\sigma(f) = f - f \circ \lambda_\sigma^{-1}$, for each $f \in C(\mathcal{P}_\sigma, \mathbb{Z})$ and $K_1(\mathcal{F}_\sigma) = \mathbb{Z}$.

For $n \geq 2$, we denote by C_n the subgroup of $C(\mathcal{P}_\sigma, \mathbb{Z})$ and H_n the subgroup of C_n defined by

$$C_n = \{\sum_{f\in\mathcal{P}_\sigma^{(n)}} a_f \chi_f \mid a_f \in \mathbb{Z}\},$$

$$H_n = \{\sum_{f\in\mathcal{P}_\sigma^{(n)}} a_f \chi_f \in C_n \mid \sum_{f\in\mathcal{P}_\sigma^{(n)},\ L(r(f))=c_k} a_f = 0 \text{ for any } 1 \leq k \leq d\}.$$

Then it clearly holds that $C_n \subset C_{n+1}$, $H_n \subset H_{n+1}$, $C(\mathcal{P}_\sigma, \mathbb{Z}) = \cup_{n=2}^{\infty} C_n$ and $\widetilde{\lambda}_\sigma C(\mathcal{P}_\sigma, \mathbb{Z}) = \cup_{n=2}^{\infty} H_n$. We let $K_n = C_n/H_n$ and φ_n be a map on K_n defined by

$$\varphi_n : K_n \longrightarrow K_{n+1},\ \varphi_n(x + H_n) = x + H_{n+1}$$

for $n \geq 2$.

The group C_n/H_n is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}^d$ for any $n \geq 2$ with isomorphism ψ_n defined by

$$\psi_n\Big(\sum_{f \in \mathcal{P}_\sigma^{(n)}} a_f \chi_f + H_n\Big) = \Big(\sum_{g \in \mathcal{P}_\sigma^{(n)}, L(r(g)) = c_k} a_g\Big)_{1 \leq k \leq d}.$$

Then we have $\phi \circ \psi_n = \psi_{n+1} \circ \varphi_n$ on K_n $(n \geq 2)$. Since $C(\mathcal{P}_\sigma, \mathbb{Z})/\widetilde{\lambda}_\sigma C(\mathcal{P}_\sigma, \mathbb{Z})$ is the direct limit of $(C_n/H_n, \phi_n)$ and K_σ is the direct limit of $(\mathbb{Z}^d, \phi)$ respectively, $K_0(\mathcal{F}_\sigma)$ is isomorphic to K_σ.

Let σ be a proper primitive substitution. For any $x + H_\sigma \in K_\sigma$, there exists $y + H_\sigma \in K_\sigma$ such that $x - M_\sigma y \in H_\sigma$. Then we let $\tau_\sigma : K_\sigma \longrightarrow K_\sigma$ be a map defined by $\tau_\sigma(x + H_\sigma) = y + H_\sigma$.

Theorem 5.3. *Let σ be a proper primitive substitution. Then the K-groups of B_σ are given by*

$$K_0(B_\sigma) \simeq \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathit{Coker}(1 - \tau_\sigma),\ K_1(B_\sigma) \simeq \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathit{Ker}(1 - \tau_\sigma).$$

Proof. It follows from [1] and the Pimsner-Voiculescu sequence in [9] that there is a exact sequence

$$\begin{tikzcd}
K_\sigma \arrow[r, "i_* - \rho_*"] & K_\sigma \arrow[r, "i_*"] & K_0(B_\sigma) \arrow[d] \\
K_1(B_\sigma) \arrow[u] & \mathbb{Z} \arrow[l, "i_*"'] & \mathbb{Z} \arrow[l, "i_* - \rho_*"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

where ρ_* is the induced map of ρ on $K_0(\mathcal{F}_\sigma)$ and $K_1(\mathcal{F}_\sigma)$. Now $\rho_* = \tau_\sigma$ on $K_0(\mathcal{F}_\sigma)$ and $\rho_* = 1$ on $K_1(\mathcal{F}_\sigma)$ and we conclude the proof. ☐

Example 5.4. Let σ be a para-periodic proper substitution. Since the map τ_σ on K_σ is given by $\tau_\sigma(x + H_\sigma) = \frac{x}{N_\sigma} + H_\sigma$, $K_0(B_\sigma) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$ and $K_1(B_\sigma) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$.

REFERENCES

[1] J. Cuntz, Simple C^*-algebras generated by isometries, Comm. Math. Phys. 57, 1977, 173-185.

[2] J. Cuntz, C^*-algebras associated with the $ax + b$-semigroup over $\mathbb{N}$, preprint.

[3] J. Cuntz and W. Krieger, A class of C^*-algebras and topological Markov chains, Invent. Math. 56, 1980, 251-268.

[4] K. R. Davidson, C^*-algebras by example, Fields Inst. Monogr. Math. Soc.,Providence, 1996.

[5] F. Durand, B. Host and C. F. Skau, Substitutional dynamical systems, Bratteli diagrams and dimension groups, Ergodic Theory Dynam. systems. 19, 1999, 953-993.

[6] T. Giordano, I. F. Putnam and C. F. Skau, Topological orbit equivalence and C^*-crossed product, J. reine angew. Math. 469, 1995, 51-111.

[7] R. H. Herman, I. P. Putnam and C. F. Skau, ordered Bratteli diagrams, dimension groups, and topological dynamics. Int. J. Math. 3, 1992, 827-864.

[8] B. Host, Substitution subshifts and Bratteli diagrams, in: F. Blanchard, A. Maass, A. Nogueira, Topics in Symbolic Dynamics and Applications, in: London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., Cambridge University Press, 1999.

[9] M. Pimsner and D. V. Voiculescu, K-groups of reduced crossed products by free groups, J. Operator Theory 4, 1982, 131-156.

[10] M. Queffelec. Substitution Dynamical Systems(Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1924). Springer, 1987.

[11] M. Rørdam, Classification of Certain Infinite Simple C^*-Algebras ,J.Funct. Anal. 131(1995),415-458.

(Masaru Fujino) Graduate School of Mathematics, Kyushu University, Hakozaki, Fukuoka, 812-8581, Japan

E-mail address: `fujino@math.kyushu-u.ac.jp`